



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Apr 26, 2016 – 09:13 PM BST

PDB ID : 2HGC  
Title : Solution NMR structure of the YjcQ protein from Bacillus subtilis. Northeast Structural Genomics target SR346.  
Authors : Rossi, P.; Cort, J.R.; Ho, C.K.; Janjua, H.; Cunningham, K.; Ma, L.-C.; Xiao, R.; Liu, J.; Baran, M.; Swapna, G.V.T.; Acton, T.B.; Rost, B.; Kennedy, M.A.; Montelione, G.T.; Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG)  
Deposited on : 2006-06-26

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.  
We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)  
A user guide is available at  
<http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp>  
with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	unknown
Percentile statistics	:	20151230.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 30th 2015)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	rb-20027457
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	rb-20027457


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 87%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	114402	11133
Ramachandran outliers	111179	9975
Sidechain outliers	111093	9958

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	102	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:6-A:51, A:55-A:74 (66)	0.40	8

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19
2	6, 18
3	7, 20
Single-model clusters	3; 10; 14

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1250 atoms, of which 614 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called YjcQ protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
1	A	78	Total	C	H	N	O	0
			1250	405	614	105	126	


There are 8 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	95	LEU	-	CLONING ARTIFACT	UNP O31639
A	96	GLU	-	CLONING ARTIFACT	UNP O31639
A	97	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP O31639
A	98	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP O31639
A	99	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP O31639
A	100	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP O31639
A	101	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP O31639
A	102	HIS	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP O31639




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein



#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14


- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein






## 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




## 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




## 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




## 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein




4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: YjcQ protein



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *Noesy assignments made with iterative method using CS, 3J, Hyper (dihedral), and Dyana for simulated annealing MD. Converged structures are further refined using NIH-xplor followed by CNS in explicit water shell (Nielges) . Full lenght sequence was carried through the refinement protocol, the disordered regions and hexHIS tag are not reported. Structure based on 595 constraints, 249 long range, 163 dihedral constraints and 36 H-bond constraints..*

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
DYANA	structure solution	1.2
X-PLOR	refinement	2.11.2
AutoStructure	structure solution	2.1.1
Hyper	structure solution	2.1
PSVS	refinement	1.3
Procheck NMR	refinement	3.51
MolProbity	refinement	3.01

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	BMRB entry 7260
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1024
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1024
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	87%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	543	533	531	7±2
All	All	10860	10660	10620	140

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 7.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:8:TYR:HE1	1:A:73:LEU:HA	0.75	1.42	13	4
1:A:55:ARG:HG3	1:A:56:PRO:HD2	0.68	1.65	18	2
1:A:19:THR:HB	1:A:20:PRO:HA	0.68	1.64	9	11
1:A:7:ARG:NH1	1:A:36:ALA:HA	0.64	2.07	2	3
1:A:41:LYS:HZ2	1:A:41:LYS:HB3	0.64	1.52	13	1
1:A:20:PRO:HB3	1:A:59:TYR:HA	0.63	1.70	3	2
1:A:23:GLU:HG2	1:A:30:GLU:HB3	0.63	1.69	17	1
1:A:21:LEU:HD21	1:A:26:ILE:HD12	0.62	1.72	5	1
1:A:7:ARG:NH2	1:A:36:ALA:HA	0.61	2.10	6	1
1:A:20:PRO:HD3	1:A:60:LYS:HG2	0.60	1.71	19	3
1:A:21:LEU:HD12	1:A:26:ILE:HB	0.59	1.73	9	2
1:A:7:ARG:HH11	1:A:36:ALA:HA	0.56	1.59	1	2
1:A:18:ASN:O	1:A:19:THR:HG23	0.56	2.01	3	2
1:A:47:ILE:O	1:A:63:PRO:HA	0.55	2.01	2	3

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:7:ARG:HD2	1:A:36:ALA:HA	0.55	1.78	13	2
1:A:36:ALA:O	1:A:40:LEU:HG	0.54	2.03	16	10
1:A:22:SER:HB3	1:A:25:ASP:HB2	0.54	1.79	1	1
1:A:19:THR:CB	1:A:20:PRO:HA	0.54	2.32	9	6
1:A:12:LYS:O	1:A:16:GLU:HG2	0.53	2.03	10	2
1:A:30:GLU:CD	1:A:30:GLU:H	0.52	2.08	6	1
1:A:6:LEU:O	1:A:10:ILE:HG13	0.52	2.04	18	4
1:A:21:LEU:HD11	1:A:26:ILE:HB	0.52	1.79	5	1
1:A:7:ARG:HB3	1:A:36:ALA:HB1	0.51	1.81	10	1
1:A:20:PRO:HB3	1:A:58:LEU:O	0.51	2.06	17	1
1:A:12:LYS:O	1:A:16:GLU:HG3	0.50	2.07	6	1
1:A:30:GLU:HB3	1:A:55:ARG:NH2	0.49	2.21	13	2
1:A:23:GLU:CD	1:A:23:GLU:H	0.49	2.10	20	3
1:A:8:TYR:CE1	1:A:73:LEU:HA	0.49	2.42	19	2
1:A:41:LYS:HD2	1:A:49:VAL:HB	0.49	1.83	15	2
1:A:23:GLU:HG2	1:A:30:GLU:HA	0.49	1.85	6	2
1:A:20:PRO:HD3	1:A:60:LYS:HA	0.49	1.84	11	2
1:A:7:ARG:HG3	1:A:40:LEU:HD21	0.48	1.85	16	1
1:A:7:ARG:O	1:A:11:LEU:HG	0.48	2.08	20	1
1:A:19:THR:HB	1:A:20:PRO:CA	0.48	2.37	9	2
1:A:10:ILE:HA	1:A:13:GLU:HG2	0.48	1.86	4	1
1:A:7:ARG:HA	1:A:10:ILE:HD12	0.48	1.85	6	2
1:A:11:LEU:CB	1:A:73:LEU:HD21	0.47	2.39	18	1
1:A:20:PRO:HD3	1:A:60:LYS:HG3	0.47	1.86	20	2
1:A:10:ILE:HA	1:A:26:ILE:HD11	0.47	1.85	18	1
1:A:7:ARG:HH21	1:A:39:PHE:HB3	0.47	1.69	6	1
1:A:20:PRO:HG3	1:A:59:TYR:HA	0.47	1.85	20	1
1:A:46:ILE:HG22	1:A:65:LEU:HA	0.47	1.86	16	1
1:A:11:LEU:HG	1:A:40:LEU:HD13	0.46	1.87	1	1
1:A:21:LEU:H	1:A:21:LEU:HD23	0.46	1.71	7	2
1:A:19:THR:HB	1:A:59:TYR:O	0.46	2.10	18	2
1:A:22:SER:HB2	1:A:25:ASP:OD1	0.46	2.11	5	1
1:A:12:LYS:HD3	1:A:13:GLU:N	0.46	2.25	2	1
1:A:7:ARG:HG2	1:A:40:LEU:HD21	0.46	1.88	12	1
1:A:10:ILE:HD13	1:A:26:ILE:HG13	0.46	1.87	17	2
1:A:43:GLU:HB2	1:A:45:TYR:CE1	0.45	2.45	12	1
1:A:7:ARG:HB2	1:A:40:LEU:HD21	0.45	1.88	7	1
1:A:7:ARG:HG3	1:A:36:ALA:HB1	0.45	1.88	11	1
1:A:10:ILE:O	1:A:14:ILE:HG13	0.45	2.11	13	2
1:A:38:ASN:O	1:A:42:ARG:HG3	0.44	2.13	4	2
1:A:23:GLU:HB2	1:A:28:VAL:O	0.44	2.13	12	1

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:13:GLU:HG3	1:A:26:ILE:HG13	0.44	1.90	19	1
1:A:69:GLY:O	1:A:73:LEU:HG	0.44	2.13	4	3
1:A:39:PHE:O	1:A:43:GLU:HG2	0.44	2.13	16	1
1:A:7:ARG:HG2	1:A:36:ALA:HB1	0.43	1.89	5	1
1:A:22:SER:HB3	1:A:25:ASP:CB	0.43	2.43	1	1
1:A:32:GLN:HA	1:A:35:ASP:HB3	0.43	1.90	8	1
1:A:26:ILE:HG23	1:A:28:VAL:HG22	0.43	1.90	17	3
1:A:41:LYS:HD3	1:A:41:LYS:O	0.43	2.13	6	1
1:A:41:LYS:HZ3	1:A:41:LYS:HB3	0.43	1.73	8	1
1:A:41:LYS:HD2	1:A:46:ILE:O	0.42	2.14	11	1
1:A:34:ASP:O	1:A:38:ASN:HB2	0.42	2.13	14	1
1:A:7:ARG:HG3	1:A:36:ALA:CB	0.42	2.45	11	1
1:A:9:ALA:O	1:A:13:GLU:HG2	0.42	2.15	3	2
1:A:24:ASN:C	1:A:24:ASN:HD22	0.42	2.18	4	1
1:A:8:TYR:O	1:A:12:LYS:HG3	0.41	2.15	12	1
1:A:23:GLU:H	1:A:23:GLU:CD	0.41	2.18	12	1
1:A:24:ASN:HD22	1:A:25:ASP:N	0.41	2.14	4	1
1:A:46:ILE:O	1:A:46:ILE:HD12	0.41	2.15	15	1
1:A:19:THR:O	1:A:60:LYS:HA	0.41	2.16	20	1
1:A:6:LEU:O	1:A:10:ILE:HG12	0.40	2.16	17	1
1:A:7:ARG:HG3	1:A:8:TYR:N	0.40	2.30	10	1
1:A:46:ILE:N	1:A:46:ILE:HD13	0.40	2.32	10	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	66/102 (65%)	62±1 (94±2%)	3±1 (4±2%)	1±1 (2±1%)	15	58
All	All	1320/2040 (65%)	1245 (94%)	51 (4%)	24 (2%)	15	58

All 5 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	19	THR	16
1	A	18	ASN	3
1	A	58	LEU	2
1	A	62	GLY	2
1	A	55	ARG	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	58/92 (63%)	53±1 (91±2%)	5±1 (9±2%)	16	61
All	All	1160/1840 (63%)	1052 (91%)	108 (9%)	16	61

All 30 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	23	GLU	16
1	A	26	ILE	12
1	A	47	ILE	12
1	A	13	GLU	7
1	A	41	LYS	6
1	A	46	ILE	6
1	A	12	LYS	6
1	A	55	ARG	4
1	A	7	ARG	4
1	A	19	THR	3
1	A	29	THR	3
1	A	65	LEU	2
1	A	71	ASN	2
1	A	30	GLU	2
1	A	24	ASN	2
1	A	57	HIS	2
1	A	61	LEU	2
1	A	34	ASP	2
1	A	43	GLU	2
1	A	18	ASN	2
1	A	64	GLU	2

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	42	ARG	1
1	A	6	LEU	1
1	A	68	LYS	1
1	A	51	TYR	1
1	A	67	GLU	1
1	A	31	ASP	1
1	A	21	LEU	1
1	A	35	ASP	1
1	A	8	TYR	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 87% for the well-defined parts and 84% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: BMRB entry 7260

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1024
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1024
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	83	$-0.53 \pm 0.20$	Should be applied
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	78	$-0.04 \pm 0.17$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	74	$-0.10 \pm 0.11$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	77	$1.22 \pm 0.63$	None needed (imprecise)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 87%, i.e. 735 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 847. 11 out of 11 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	319/324 (98%)	128/129 (99%)	129/132 (98%)	62/63 (98%)
Sidechain	343/440 (78%)	206/257 (80%)	129/164 (79%)	8/19 (42%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	73/83 (88%)	38/43 (88%)	35/36 (97%)	0/4 (0%)
Overall	735/847 (87%)	372/429 (87%)	293/332 (88%)	70/86 (81%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 820 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 982. 11 out of 11 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	363/384 (95%)	146/153 (95%)	146/156 (94%)	71/75 (95%)
Sidechain	372/503 (74%)	225/294 (77%)	138/187 (74%)	9/22 (41%)
Aromatic	85/95 (89%)	44/49 (90%)	40/41 (98%)	1/5 (20%)
Overall	820/982 (84%)	415/496 (84%)	324/384 (84%)	81/102 (79%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	88	GLU	CB	42.33	38.65 – 21.35	7.1

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

