Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Sep 12, 2016 – 06:26 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2MN4
Title	:	NMR solution structure of a computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5
Authors	:	Xiong, P.; Wang, M.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Q.; Liu, H.
Deposited on	:	2014-03-28

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	unknown
Percentile statistics	:	20151230.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 30th 2015)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	rb-20027939
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	rb-20027939

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 50%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	114402	11133
Ramachandran outliers	111179	9975
Sidechain outliers	111093	9958

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	106	72% 9% 8% 11%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 17 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:8-A:93 (86)	0.33	17

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 13, 15, 17, 19
2	6, 11, 14, 16, 20
3	7, 10, 12, 18
Single-model clusters	4

3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1554 atoms, of which 799 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	94	1554	486	799	126	142	1	0

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A:



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A:

4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A:



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 67% 13% • 8% 11%



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 69% 12% 8% 11%



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 71% 10% 8% 11%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 69% 11% • 8% 11%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 68% 11% • 8% 11%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 71% 9% • 8% 11%

HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS M1 T2 P3 E4 Q5 R6 E7 F8 L9 L13 I16 E28 L35 D45 L46 D58 F59 K62 T92 L93 E94 HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS

4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 69% 12% 8% 11%

HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS M1 T2 P3 E4 Q5 R6 E7 L13 I16 P22 L26 L34 L35 Q41 D45 L46 K47 T48 D58 F59 L91 E94 HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS

4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 68% 13% 8% 11%

HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS M1 T2 P3 E4 Q5 R6 E7 F8 L9 L13 I16 P22 L26 L35 Q41 D45 L46 L56 I57 D58 Y90 L91 T92 L93 E94 HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS

4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 68% 13% 8% 11%

HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS M1 T2 P3 E4 Q5 R6 E7 F8 L9 I12 L13 I16 L34 L35 Q41 D45 L46 K54 D58 V74 H78 T92 L93 E94 HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS

4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 68% 13% 8% 11%

HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS M1 T2 P3 E4 Q5 R6 E7 L13 I16 P22 L26 L30 L34 L35 L40 Q41 D45 L46 L56 I57 D58 T92 L93 E94 HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS HIS

4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 69% 11% • 8% 11%



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 65% 15% • 8% 11%

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 73% 8% • 8% 11%



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 66% 14% • 8% 11%



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 63% 16% • 8% 11%



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 72% 8% • 8% 11%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 71% 8% • 8% 11%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Computational designed protein based on structure template 1cy5

Chain A: 67% 12% • 8% 11%



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 1000 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	structure solution	1.3
CNS	refinement	1.3

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	2mn4_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	713
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	713
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	50%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	686	737	736	4±1
All	All	13720	14740	14720	82

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:41:GLN:O	1:A:45:ASP:HB2	0.55	2.02	2	19
1:A:35:LEU:HD22	1:A:40:LEU:HD12	0.54	1.80	19	1
1:A:69:GLN:HA	1:A:72:ILE:HD12	0.52	1.79	16	3
1:A:13:LEU:O	1:A:16:ILE:HG22	0.51	2.06	12	20
1:A:22:PRO:O	1:A:26:LEU:HB2	0.49	2.07	12	11
1:A:34:LEU:HD23	1:A:34:LEU:O	0.47	2.09	2	2
1:A:42:GLU:HB3	1:A:55:LYS:HZ3	0.47	1.69	2	1
1:A:34:LEU:O	1:A:34:LEU:HD23	0.44	2.13	11	5
1:A:54:LYS:O	1:A:58:ASP:HB2	0.44	2.13	11	3
1:A:9:LEU:O	1:A:12:ILE:HG13	0.43	2.13	13	3
1:A:58:ASP:O	1:A:62:LYS:HB2	0.43	2.14	19	2
1:A:36:THR:O	1:A:40:LEU:HB2	0.42	2.14	3	1
1:A:64:SER:OG	1:A:65:PRO:HD2	0.42	2.14	17	1
1:A:54:LYS:HA	1:A:57:ILE:HD11	0.42	1.92	18	3

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:84:ALA:O	1:A:88:ASN:HB2	0.42	2.15	16	1
1:A:39:GLU:HB3	1:A:59:PHE:CZ	0.41	2.51	3	1
1:A:70:ALA:HA	1:A:73:ASN:ND2	0.41	2.30	1	1
1:A:58:ASP:OD2	1:A:62:LYS:HE3	0.40	2.16	8	1
1:A:74:VAL:O	1:A:78:HIS:HB2	0.40	2.16	11	1
1:A:16:ILE:HG21	1:A:57:ILE:HD11	0.40	1.93	10	1
1:A:65:PRO:O	1:A:69:GLN:HG3	0.40	2.16	4	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	86/106 (81%)	85±0 (99±1%)	1±0 (1±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1720/2120 (81%)	1695 (99%)	25 (1%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	75/95 (79%)	68±2 (91±2%)	7±2 (9±2%)	17	62
All	All	1500/1900 (79%)	1366 (91%)	134 (9%)	17	62

All 27 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	46	LEU	20

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	35	LEU	20
1	A	58	ASP	18
1	A	92	THR	18
1	A	13	LEU	9
1	A	59	PHE	6
1	A	57	ILE	5
1	A	9	LEU	5
1	A	69	GLN	4
1	A	91	LEU	4
1	A	56	LEU	3
1	A	28	GLU	3
1	A	48	THR	3
1	A	67	ASP	2
1	A	83	LEU	2
1	A	26	LEU	1
1	A	45	ASP	1
1	A	30	LEU	1
1	A	24	LYS	1
1	A	40	LEU	1
1	A	78	HIS	1
1	A	93	LEU	1
1	A	11	GLU	1
1	A	20	LEU	1
1	A	42	GLU	1
1	A	76	ARG	1
1	A	81	GLN	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 50% for the well-defined parts and 51% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: 2mn4_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	713
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	713
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	61	0.32 ± 0.20	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	60	1.01 ± 0.10	Should be applied
$^{13}C'$	0	—	—
^{15}N	54	1.64 ± 0.26	Should be applied

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 50%, i.e. 553 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1111. 0 out of 22 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	204/420 (49%)	102/167 (61%)	54/172 (31%)	48/81 (59%)
Sidechain	343/649 (53%)	203/376 (54%)	137/247 (55%)	3/26 (12%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Aromatic	6/42 (14%)	6/22 (27%)	0/18 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	553/1111 (50%)	311/565 (55%)	191/437 (44%)	51/109 (47%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 51%, i.e. 617 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1219. 0 out of 22 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	230/458 (50%)	115/182 (63%)	61/188 (32%)	54/88 (61%)
Sidechain	381/719 (53%)	228/418 (55%)	150/271 (55%)	3/30 (10%)
Aromatic	6/42 (14%)	6/22 (27%)	0/18 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	617/1219 (51%)	349/622 (56%)	211/477 (44%)	57/120 (48%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A: