



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Apr 27, 2016 – 06:17 AM BST

PDB ID : 2NO8  
Title : NMR Structure analysis of the colicin immunity protein IM2  
Authors : Macdonald, C.J.  
Deposited on : 2006-10-25

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.  
We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)  
A user guide is available at  
<http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp>  
with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)  
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Mogul : unknown  
Percentile statistics : 20151230.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 30th 2015)  
RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
ShiftChecker : rb-20027457  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : rb-20027457


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*


The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 83%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	114402	11133
Ramachandran outliers	111179	9975
Sidechain outliers	111093	9958

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ .

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	86	 78% 17% ...

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 60 models. Model 35 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:4-A:86 (83)	0.44	35

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 8 clusters and 5 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 32, 35, 36, 38, 40, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 52, 54, 55
2	1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 14, 19, 20, 22, 26, 28, 30, 33, 37, 43, 60
3	31, 41, 58
4	9, 17, 39
5	13, 16, 53
6	4, 34, 57
7	42, 59
8	24, 51
Single-model clusters	15; 18; 48; 50; 56

### 3 Entry composition [i](#)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1353 atoms, of which 657 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.


- Molecule 1 is a protein called Colicin-E2 immunity protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms							Trace
1	A	85	Total	C	H	N	O	S		0
			1353	432	657	119	144	1		




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein



### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein






### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




### 4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.28 Score per residue for model 28

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.29 Score per residue for model 29

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.30 Score per residue for model 30

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.31 Score per residue for model 31

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.32 Score per residue for model 32

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.33 Score per residue for model 33

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.34 Score per residue for model 34

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.35 Score per residue for model 35 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.36 Score per residue for model 36

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.37 Score per residue for model 37

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.38 Score per residue for model 38

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.39 Score per residue for model 39

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.40 Score per residue for model 40

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.41 Score per residue for model 41

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.42 Score per residue for model 42

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.43 Score per residue for model 43

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.44 Score per residue for model 44

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.45 Score per residue for model 45

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.46 Score per residue for model 46

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.47 Score per residue for model 47

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.48 Score per residue for model 48

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.49 Score per residue for model 49

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.50 Score per residue for model 50

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.51 Score per residue for model 51

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.52 Score per residue for model 52

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.53 Score per residue for model 53

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.54 Score per residue for model 54

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.55 Score per residue for model 55

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein




#### 4.2.56 Score per residue for model 56

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein



#### 4.2.57 Score per residue for model 57


- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein





#### 4.2.58 Score per residue for model 58


- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein

Chain A:  83% 10% ...



#### 4.2.59 Score per residue for model 59


- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein

Chain A:  76% 20% ...



#### 4.2.60 Score per residue for model 60

- Molecule 1: Colicin-E2 immunity protein

Chain A:  76% 20% ...



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 60 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *lowest dihedral angle and distance violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR	structure solution	
X-PLOR	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	BMRB entry 7323
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	974
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	974
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	83%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.87±0.03	1±0/692 (0.1±0.0%)	0.73±0.02	0±0/926 (0.0±0.0%)
All	All	0.87	56/41520 (0.1%)	0.74	0/55560 (0.0%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.2±0.5
All	All	0	13

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	18	PHE	CB-CG	6.20	1.61	1.51	6	55
1	A	27	GLY	N-CA	-5.22	1.38	1.46	56	1

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	57	ARG	Sidechain	6
1	A	38	ARG	Sidechain	4
1	A	60	ARG	Sidechain	3

## 6.2 Too-close contacts ⓘ

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	679	640	640	11±3
All	All	40740	38400	38400	676

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 9.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:16:LEU:HA	1:A:68:VAL:HG21	0.91	1.41	20	59
1:A:4:LYS:HD3	1:A:10:TYR:CE2	0.74	2.18	35	4
1:A:4:LYS:HG2	1:A:10:TYR:CE2	0.72	2.20	23	2
1:A:4:LYS:HG2	1:A:10:TYR:CE1	0.71	2.19	34	24
1:A:31:GLU:HA	1:A:34:ASN:ND2	0.68	2.04	56	1
1:A:41:GLU:OE1	1:A:49:GLY:HA3	0.66	1.90	8	34
1:A:16:LEU:HD23	1:A:20:LYS:HE3	0.61	1.72	7	23
1:A:60:ARG:HA	1:A:60:ARG:NE	0.60	2.11	29	2
1:A:39:GLU:O	1:A:43:LEU:HG	0.60	1.97	21	19
1:A:41:GLU:CD	1:A:49:GLY:HA3	0.59	2.17	1	38
1:A:48:ASP:O	1:A:52:LEU:HG	0.59	1.97	33	53
1:A:18:PHE:O	1:A:22:ILE:HG13	0.58	1.98	15	57
1:A:47:PRO:HD3	1:A:74:TRP:CH2	0.58	2.34	4	31
1:A:34:ASN:O	1:A:38:ARG:HD3	0.56	2.00	50	1
1:A:16:LEU:CD2	1:A:20:LYS:HE3	0.56	2.31	51	32
1:A:72:LYS:C	1:A:72:LYS:HE2	0.56	2.21	10	1
1:A:47:PRO:HD2	1:A:74:TRP:CZ2	0.55	2.37	23	3
1:A:60:ARG:HD2	1:A:66:GLY:O	0.55	2.02	16	6
1:A:16:LEU:CA	1:A:68:VAL:HG21	0.55	2.29	30	10
1:A:60:ARG:NH1	1:A:62:ASP:HA	0.55	2.17	46	3
1:A:57:ARG:NE	1:A:57:ARG:HA	0.54	2.16	26	1
1:A:7:ILE:HB	1:A:43:LEU:HB3	0.54	1.78	2	11
1:A:75:ARG:NH2	1:A:81:SER:HB3	0.53	2.18	10	2
1:A:56:PRO:HA	1:A:60:ARG:NH1	0.53	2.19	23	1
1:A:20:LYS:O	1:A:24:ARG:HG2	0.53	2.04	48	9
1:A:34:ASN:O	1:A:38:ARG:HG2	0.52	2.04	34	8
1:A:61:GLU:O	1:A:66:GLY:HA3	0.52	2.03	52	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:34:ASN:O	1:A:38:ARG:HG3	0.52	2.04	42	5
1:A:41:GLU:HA	1:A:44:THR:OG1	0.52	2.04	23	1
1:A:11:THR:HA	1:A:84:LYS:O	0.51	2.04	4	5
1:A:30:GLU:HA	1:A:33:ASP:OD1	0.51	2.05	28	6
1:A:38:ARG:O	1:A:42:ARG:HG3	0.50	2.06	49	2
1:A:18:PHE:CE1	1:A:19:VAL:HB	0.49	2.42	4	45
1:A:36:LEU:O	1:A:39:GLU:HB3	0.49	2.07	54	1
1:A:51:ASP:HB3	1:A:55:TYR:CE1	0.49	2.43	6	1
1:A:7:ILE:HD13	1:A:75:ARG:NH1	0.49	2.23	44	17
1:A:16:LEU:HA	1:A:68:VAL:CG2	0.49	2.36	37	11
1:A:60:ARG:HD3	1:A:66:GLY:O	0.49	2.08	3	1
1:A:69:LYS:HE2	1:A:72:LYS:CD	0.49	2.38	26	1
1:A:69:LYS:O	1:A:73:GLU:HG3	0.49	2.07	20	1
1:A:16:LEU:HD11	1:A:65:GLU:HA	0.49	1.85	24	9
1:A:16:LEU:HD11	1:A:65:GLU:HG3	0.48	1.85	15	3
1:A:53:ILE:HA	1:A:67:ILE:HD13	0.48	1.85	19	4
1:A:30:GLU:O	1:A:33:ASP:HB3	0.48	2.07	25	5
1:A:56:PRO:HA	1:A:60:ARG:NH2	0.48	2.23	27	4
1:A:12:GLU:OE1	1:A:72:LYS:HE3	0.47	2.09	18	1
1:A:60:ARG:HD3	1:A:70:GLU:OE1	0.47	2.09	56	1
1:A:46:HIS:CG	1:A:47:PRO:HD2	0.47	2.45	1	12
1:A:8:SER:O	1:A:84:LYS:HB2	0.46	2.10	41	4
1:A:41:GLU:OE1	1:A:46:HIS:HB3	0.46	2.10	49	2
1:A:31:GLU:O	1:A:35:LYS:HG2	0.46	2.10	30	1
1:A:74:TRP:O	1:A:78:ASN:HB2	0.46	2.11	29	2
1:A:30:GLU:HA	1:A:33:ASP:OD2	0.46	2.11	17	1
1:A:67:ILE:O	1:A:71:ILE:HG12	0.46	2.11	3	2
1:A:17:GLU:O	1:A:21:LYS:HG3	0.46	2.11	43	2
1:A:69:LYS:HE2	1:A:72:LYS:HD2	0.46	1.87	26	1
1:A:32:ASP:HA	1:A:35:LYS:NZ	0.45	2.26	23	1
1:A:50:SER:O	1:A:54:TYR:HD1	0.45	1.93	10	4
1:A:56:PRO:HG2	1:A:62:ASP:OD2	0.45	2.11	24	1
1:A:60:ARG:NE	1:A:60:ARG:HA	0.45	2.27	13	1
1:A:36:LEU:O	1:A:39:GLU:HG2	0.45	2.12	33	3
1:A:50:SER:HB2	1:A:54:TYR:CE2	0.45	2.47	54	1
1:A:45:GLU:HB2	1:A:80:LYS:NZ	0.44	2.28	15	2
1:A:69:LYS:HA	1:A:72:LYS:HB3	0.44	1.88	25	1
1:A:4:LYS:HB2	1:A:9:ASP:HB3	0.44	1.89	50	2
1:A:57:ARG:C	1:A:59:ASP:H	0.44	2.16	57	1
1:A:85:GLN:H	1:A:85:GLN:NE2	0.44	2.10	58	3
1:A:69:LYS:HA	1:A:72:LYS:HE3	0.44	1.90	3	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:32:ASP:O	1:A:35:LYS:HB3	0.44	2.12	43	1
1:A:33:ASP:O	1:A:37:VAL:HG23	0.44	2.13	42	2
1:A:69:LYS:O	1:A:72:LYS:HG2	0.44	2.13	38	4
1:A:57:ARG:HD3	1:A:70:GLU:CD	0.43	2.33	50	1
1:A:11:THR:HB	1:A:86:GLY:C	0.43	2.34	17	1
1:A:57:ARG:O	1:A:59:ASP:N	0.43	2.52	17	1
1:A:56:PRO:HB3	1:A:62:ASP:CG	0.43	2.33	56	1
1:A:15:PHE:O	1:A:18:PHE:HD1	0.43	1.96	33	3
1:A:18:PHE:CG	1:A:19:VAL:N	0.43	2.86	26	13
1:A:18:PHE:CE2	1:A:19:VAL:HB	0.43	2.48	24	1
1:A:16:LEU:HD21	1:A:20:LYS:HE2	0.43	1.90	48	1
1:A:4:LYS:HE3	1:A:43:LEU:HD11	0.43	1.90	3	4
1:A:7:ILE:HD12	1:A:75:ARG:NH1	0.43	2.29	56	1
1:A:41:GLU:HG2	1:A:49:GLY:HA3	0.43	1.89	50	2
1:A:29:THR:HB	1:A:31:GLU:HG2	0.43	1.90	33	1
1:A:8:SER:HA	1:A:82:GLY:O	0.43	2.14	7	1
1:A:18:PHE:HB2	1:A:40:PHE:CE1	0.42	2.48	26	1
1:A:60:ARG:HD2	1:A:61:GLU:O	0.42	2.14	48	2
1:A:16:LEU:O	1:A:20:LYS:HG3	0.42	2.15	16	2
1:A:32:ASP:O	1:A:35:LYS:HG2	0.42	2.15	20	1
1:A:19:VAL:HG11	1:A:64:PRO:O	0.42	2.14	54	1
1:A:13:ALA:O	1:A:17:GLU:HG3	0.42	2.15	59	2
1:A:11:THR:HG23	1:A:84:LYS:CE	0.42	2.45	42	1
1:A:18:PHE:HD2	1:A:40:PHE:CD2	0.42	2.32	56	1
1:A:44:THR:O	1:A:75:ARG:HD2	0.41	2.16	7	2
1:A:67:ILE:O	1:A:70:GLU:HB2	0.41	2.14	19	1
1:A:60:ARG:CZ	1:A:69:LYS:HG2	0.41	2.45	33	1
1:A:32:ASP:HA	1:A:35:LYS:HZ2	0.41	1.76	23	1
1:A:69:LYS:HE2	1:A:72:LYS:HD3	0.41	1.92	47	1
1:A:25:ALA:O	1:A:27:GLY:N	0.41	2.54	56	1
1:A:5:HIS:CE1	1:A:9:ASP:HB2	0.41	2.50	4	2
1:A:12:GLU:O	1:A:16:LEU:HB2	0.41	2.16	39	1
1:A:37:VAL:HG13	1:A:53:ILE:HD12	0.41	1.92	7	1
1:A:56:PRO:HB3	1:A:62:ASP:HB2	0.41	1.93	51	1
1:A:13:ALA:O	1:A:17:GLU:HG2	0.41	2.16	38	1
1:A:85:GLN:HE21	1:A:85:GLN:H	0.40	1.59	25	1
1:A:22:ILE:O	1:A:26:GLU:HA	0.40	2.16	24	1
1:A:57:ARG:HG3	1:A:60:ARG:NH1	0.40	2.31	27	1
1:A:85:GLN:H	1:A:85:GLN:CD	0.40	2.19	23	1
1:A:60:ARG:HG2	1:A:61:GLU:N	0.40	2.31	27	1
1:A:30:GLU:CD	1:A:30:GLU:H	0.40	2.20	5	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	82/86 (95%)	76±2 (93±2%)	5±2 (6±2%)	1±1 (1±1%)	21	68
All	All	4920/5160 (95%)	4585 (93%)	274 (6%)	61 (1%)	21	68

All 8 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	57	ARG	35
1	A	58	ASP	7
1	A	27	GLY	6
1	A	26	GLU	6
1	A	28	ALA	3
1	A	25	ALA	2
1	A	56	PRO	1
1	A	47	PRO	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	72/75 (96%)	68±1 (94±2%)	4±1 (6±2%)	30	75
All	All	4320/4500 (96%)	4072 (94%)	248 (6%)	30	75

All 24 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	18	PHE	60
1	A	4	LYS	51

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	78	ASN	37
1	A	85	GLN	26
1	A	69	LYS	10
1	A	72	LYS	9
1	A	16	LEU	7
1	A	60	ARG	6
1	A	11	THR	6
1	A	30	GLU	6
1	A	31	GLU	5
1	A	26	GLU	3
1	A	29	THR	3
1	A	35	LYS	3
1	A	51	ASP	3
1	A	32	ASP	2
1	A	33	ASP	2
1	A	80	LYS	2
1	A	7	ILE	2
1	A	55	TYR	1
1	A	41	GLU	1
1	A	42	ARG	1
1	A	38	ARG	1
1	A	46	HIS	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.



## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 83% for the well-defined parts and 83% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: BMRB entry 7323

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	974
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	974
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	85	$-0.83 \pm 0.32$	Should be applied
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	79	$0.21 \pm 0.12$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	0	—	—
$^{15}\text{N}$	81	$-0.05 \pm 0.36$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 83%, i.e. 869 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1050. 7 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	326/409 (80%)	163/163 (100%)	83/166 (50%)	80/80 (100%)
Sidechain	463/555 (83%)	294/327 (90%)	166/199 (83%)	3/29 (10%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	80/86 (93%)	42/46 (91%)	37/37 (100%)	1/3 (33%)
Overall	869/1050 (83%)	499/536 (93%)	286/402 (71%)	84/112 (75%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 83%, i.e. 890 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1076. 8 out of 8 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	332/419 (79%)	166/167 (99%)	85/170 (50%)	81/82 (99%)
Sidechain	478/571 (84%)	303/336 (90%)	172/206 (83%)	3/29 (10%)
Aromatic	80/86 (93%)	42/46 (91%)	37/37 (100%)	1/3 (33%)
Overall	890/1076 (83%)	511/549 (93%)	294/413 (71%)	85/114 (75%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	47	PRO	HD3	1.08	5.52 – 1.72	-6.7

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

