Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Apr 27, 2016 – 04:28 AM BST

PDB ID	:	2RM0
Title	:	FBP28WW2 domain in complex with a PPPLIPPPP peptide
Authors	:	Ramirez-Espain, X.; Ruiz, L.; Martin-Malpartida, P.; Oschkinat, H.; Macias, M.J.
Deposited on	:	2007-09-06

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.
We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	unknown
Percentile statistics	:	20151230.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 30th 2015)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	rb-20027457
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	rb-20027457

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 47%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	114402	11133
Ramachandran outliers	111179	9975
Sidechain outliers	111093	9958

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	W	37	38% 43% 5% 14%
2	P	9	67% 22% 11%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 8 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	W:6-W:37, P:101-P:108 (40)	0.34	5

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 6, 7
2	3, 5
3	2, 8

3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 736 atoms, of which 361 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Transcription elongation regulator 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
1	W	37	597	195	288	49	65	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Formin-1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
2	P	9	139	47	73	9	10	0

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:

4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:

4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:

4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1

Chain W:



- Molecule 2: Formin-1

Chain P:

5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, molecular dynamics.*

Of the 60 calculated structures, 8 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure solution	
ARIA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	BMRB entry 11008
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	284
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	284
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	47%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	W	281	257	257	18±3
2	P	58	66	64	8±1
All	All	2712	2584	2568	168

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 32.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:W:9:THR:HG23	1:W:21:TYR:HB3	0.78	1.56	6	4
1:W:7:GLU:HB3	1:W:23:ASN:HB2	0.77	1.57	6	1
1:W:33:PRO:HD2	1:W:36:LEU:HD23	0.74	1.59	2	3
1:W:22:ASN:ND2	1:W:24:ARG:H	0.71	1.82	2	1
1:W:30:TRP:CH2	2:P:105:ILE:HD13	0.69	2.23	8	2
1:W:20:TYR:HB2	1:W:29:THR:OG1	0.69	1.88	8	6
1:W:22:ASN:HD21	1:W:24:ARG:CB	0.67	2.01	2	1
1:W:22:ASN:OD1	1:W:24:ARG:HB3	0.66	1.91	6	3
1:W:6:SER:HA	1:W:8:TRP:NE1	0.66	2.05	7	4
1:W:32:LYS:HD3	1:W:37:LYS:HA	0.66	1.65	7	1
1:W:22:ASN:OD1	1:W:24:ARG:HB2	0.65	1.91	8	1
2:P:105:ILE:HD12	2:P:105:ILE:H	0.64	1.52	8	2
1:W:8:TRP:CZ3	1:W:22:ASN:ND2	0.63	2.67	2	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:W:8:TRP:HZ3	1:W:22:ASN:HB2	0.62	1.54	4	7
1:W:30:TRP:CD1	2:P:105:ILE:HG21	0.62	2.29	3	8
1:W:19:TYR:HA	1:W:30:TRP:O	0.61	1.96	6	7
2:P:105:ILE:HG22	2:P:106:PRO:O	0.59	1.97	1	7
1:W:22:ASN:ND2	1:W:25:THR:H	0.59	1.95	3	2
1:W:19:TYR:HB2	1:W:30:TRP:HA	0.57	1.76	8	5
1:W:8:TRP:CD1	1:W:36:LEU:HG	0.57	2.35	8	1
1:W:22:ASN:HD21	1:W:25:THR:H	0.56	1.43	3	3
1:W:8:TRP:HZ3	1:W:22:ASN:CB	0.55	2.14	8	7
2:P:105:ILE:H	2:P:105:ILE:HD12	0.54	1.61	3	2
1:W:28:SER:HB2	2:P:106:PRO:CD	0.53	2.34	8	5
1:W:22:ASN:HB3	1:W:27:GLU:HB3	0.52	1.82	6	4
1:W:9:THR:OG1	1:W:10:GLU:N	0.52	2.44	1	8
1:W:20:TYR:HB2	1:W:29:THR:HG23	0.51	1.82	6	1
1:W:7:GLU:HB3	1:W:23:ASN:HB3	0.50	1.81	1	2
2:P:105:ILE:N	2:P:105:ILE:HD12	0.50	2.21	6	3
1:W:19:TYR:CD2	2:P:105:ILE:HD11	0.50	2.41	2	4
1:W:33:PRO:HD2	1:W:36:LEU:HD12	0.50	1.83	8	1
2:P:104:LEU:HD23	2:P:104:LEU:H	0.49	1.68	2	1
1:W:22:ASN:CG	1:W:27:GLU:HB3	0.48	2.29	4	2
1:W:8:TRP:CD1	1:W:36:LEU:HD22	0.48	2.42	5	3
2:P:105:ILE:HD12	2:P:105:ILE:N	0.48	2.23	2	5
1:W:22:ASN:HD22	1:W:27:GLU:N	0.47	2.08	8	1
1:W:12:LYS:HA	1:W:17:LYS:O	0.47	2.10	3	1
1:W:19:TYR:HD1	1:W:29:THR:O	0.47	1.92	1	2
2:P:105:ILE:CG2	2:P:106:PRO:HD2	0.47	2.39	3	4
1:W:22:ASN:CB	1:W:27:GLU:HB3	0.46	2.39	3	3
1:W:30:TRP:CE2	2:P:105:ILE:HB	0.46	2.45	2	6
1:W:6:SER:O	1:W:7:GLU:HB2	0.46	2.11	6	1
1:W:22:ASN:HD21	1:W:24:ARG:HB2	0.46	1.68	2	1
1:W:22:ASN:ND2	1:W:24:ARG:HB3	0.45	2.26	5	2
1:W:11:TYR:HB3	1:W:19:TYR:CE2	0.45	2.47	1	1
1:W:33:PRO:CD	1:W:36:LEU:HD23	0.45	2.42	5	2
1:W:22:ASN:HB3	1:W:27:GLU:O	0.44	2.12	4	1
1:W:30:TRP:CZ2	2:P:105:ILE:HD13	0.44	2.47	8	2
1:W:28:SER:HB3	2:P:106:PRO:HD2	0.44	1.90	6	2
1:W:7:GLU:OE1	1:W:24:ARG:HD2	0.44	2.12	5	1
2:P:105:ILE:HG23	2:P:106:PRO:HD2	0.44	1.89	4	2
1:W:19:TYR:CD1	2:P:105:ILE:HD11	0.43	2.48	7	2
1:W:10:GLU:HB3	1:W:12:LYS:HE3	0.43	1.90	3	1
1:W:9:THR:O	1:W:20:TYR:HA	0.42	2.14	8	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:W:28:SER:CB	2:P:106:PRO:HD2	0.42	2.44	8	2
1:W:22:ASN:ND2	1:W:24:ARG:CB	0.42	2.76	2	1
1:W:31:GLU:OE1	1:W:32:LYS:HG2	0.41	2.15	4	1
1:W:27:GLU:HG3	1:W:28:SER:N	0.41	2.30	2	2
1:W:8:TRP:CZ3	1:W:22:ASN:HA	0.41	2.50	6	1
1:W:22:ASN:HD21	1:W:24:ARG:HB3	0.41	1.74	5	1
1:W:28:SER:HB3	2:P:105:ILE:HG23	0.41	1.91	2	1
2:P:102:PRO:HA	2:P:103:PRO:HD3	0.41	1.82	3	2
1:W:19:TYR:CD2	2:P:105:ILE:HG13	0.40	2.51	7	1
1:W:6:SER:O	1:W:24:ARG:HB2	0.40	2.16	6	1
1:W:10:GLU:CD	1:W:10:GLU:H	0.40	2.20	7	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	W	31/37 (84%)	24±1 (78±4%)	6±1 (19±5%)	1±0 (3±1%)	10	44
2	P	7/9 (78%)	7±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	304/368 (83%)	250 (82%)	47 (15%)	7 (2%)	12	51

All 5 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	W	6	SER	2
1	W	33	PRO	2
1	W	24	ARG	1
1	W	30	TRP	1
1	W	34	GLN	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	W	30/32 (94%)	26±1 (87±3%)	4±1 (13±3%)	9 51
2	P	8/9 (89%)	8±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100
All	All	304/328 (93%)	273 (90%)	31 (10%)	14 58

All 12 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	W	19	TYR	6
1	W	9	THR	5
1	W	26	LEU	5
1	W	28	SER	3
1	W	12	LYS	3
1	W	24	ARG	2
1	W	35	GLU	2
1	W	10	GLU	1
1	W	7	GLU	1
1	W	31	GLU	1
1	W	37	LYS	1
1	W	36	LEU	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 47% for the well-defined parts and 46% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: BMRB entry 11008

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_ chem_ shift_ list_ 1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	284
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	284
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 47%, i.e. 243 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 520. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}**H**	^{13}**C**	^{15}**N**
Backbone	72/186 (39%)	72/73 (99%)	0/80 (0%)	0/33 (0%)
Sidechain	143/278 (51%)	143/168 (85%)	0/100 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
Aromatic	28/56 (50%)	28/28 (100%)	0/26 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	243/520 (47%)	243/269 (90%)	0/206 (0%)	0/45 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 46%, i.e. 264 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 571. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	82/214 (38%)	82/84 (98%)	0/92 (0%)	0/38 (0%)
Sidechain	154/301 (51%)	154/181 (85%)	0/110 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
Aromatic	28/56 (50%)	28/28 (100%)	0/26 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	264/571 (46%)	264/293 (90%)	0/228 (0%)	0/50 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
???	W	22	ASN	HB3	-0.01	4.41 – 1.11	-8.4
???	W	33	PRO	HG2	-0.12	3.48 – 0.38	-6.6
???	W	33	PRO	HG3	-0.19	3.56 – 0.26	-6.4
???	W	11	TYR	HB3	0.94	4.75 – 0.95	-5.0

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The images below report *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain W:

Random coil index (RCI) for chain P:

0.3
0.2
0.1
0
RCI